

# Reply to Comment on "Non Hermitian Quantum Mechanics with Minimal Length Uncertainty", arXiv:0908.2341

T.K. Jana <sup>1</sup> and P. Roy<sup>2</sup>  
 Physics & Applied Mathematics Unit  
 Indian Statistical Institute  
 Kolkata - 700 108, India.

**Abstract :** It is shown that the results of ref [1] are consistent.

In ref [1] Swanson model with the following Hamiltonian was considered

$$H = \omega a^\dagger a + \lambda a^2 + \delta a^{\dagger 2} + \frac{\omega}{2} \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda \neq \delta$  are real numbers and  $a, a^\dagger$  are harmonic oscillator annihilation and creation operators given by <sup>3</sup> :

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} (\hat{p} - i\omega m \hat{x}), \quad a^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} (\hat{p} + i\omega m \hat{x}) \quad (2)$$

Using Eq.(2) the Hamiltonian (1) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2m\hbar\omega} [(\omega + \lambda + \delta)\hat{p}^2 + im\omega(\delta - \lambda - \omega)\hat{p}\hat{x} + im\omega(\delta - \lambda + \omega)\hat{x}\hat{p} + m^2\omega^2(\omega - \lambda - \delta)\hat{x}^2] + \frac{\omega}{2} \quad (3)$$

Now following ref [2] we put

$$m = \hbar = 1, \quad \lambda = -\delta \text{ and } \mu = \delta - \lambda \quad (4)$$

and obtain from Eq.(3)

$$H = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2 \hat{x}^2}{2} + i\frac{\mu}{2} \{\hat{x}, \hat{p}\} + i\frac{\omega}{2} [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] + \frac{\omega}{2} \quad (5)$$

On the other hand the Hamiltonian used in ref [2] is given by

$$H_{BF}(\hat{x}, \hat{p}) = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2 \hat{x}^2}{2} + i\mu \{\hat{x}, \hat{p}\} \quad (6)$$

It can be seen that the Hamiltonians (5) and (6) are not identical as claimed in ref [2]. Also even for  $\beta = 0$  the Hamiltonians (5) and (6) are different.

---

<sup>1</sup>email : tapasisi@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>email : pinaki@isical.ac.in

<sup>3</sup>In the expression of  $a$  and  $a^\dagger$  in ref [1]  $m$  inside the parenthesis was omitted due to typographical error.

Now in the undeformed case i.e, for  $\beta = 0$  the Hamiltonian (5) becomes

$$H_0 = Q \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} + Rp \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + Sp^2 + T \quad (7)$$

where  $Q = -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}(\omega - \lambda - \delta)$ ,  $R = (\lambda - \delta)$ ,  $S = \frac{\omega + \lambda + \delta}{2m\hbar\omega}$ ,  $T = \frac{\lambda - \delta}{2}$  and it's conjugate is

$$H_0^\dagger = Q \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} - Rp \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + Sp^2 - T \quad (8)$$

We recall that the metric operator for the Swanson Hamiltonian (5) was found in ref [1] as

$$\eta = (1 + \beta p^2)^{\frac{(\delta - \lambda)}{m\hbar\omega(\omega - \lambda - \delta)\beta}} \quad (9)$$

Now the metric operator for the undeformed Hamiltonian (7) can be found to be

$$\eta_0 = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \eta = e^{\frac{(\delta - \lambda)p^2}{m\hbar\omega(\omega - \lambda - \delta)}} \quad (10)$$

and if (4) is used then it reduces to

$$\eta_0 = e^{\frac{\mu p^2}{\omega^2}} \quad (11)$$

It can be easily checked that

$$\eta_0 H_0 \eta_0^{-1} = H_0^\dagger \quad (12)$$

Finally we refer to twofold non Hermiticity mentioned in ref [2]. In ref [1], quantum mechanics with minimal length has been described in sec 2 and Eq.(4) of ref [1] describes the scalar product for such models. We maintain that the Hamiltonians in Eq.(5) and Eq.(13) of ref [1] are Hermitian when  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda = \delta$  respectively within the framework of sec 2 of ref [1] i.e, no non Hermiticity is introduced when the transition  $(x, p) \rightarrow (\hat{x}, \hat{p})$  is made.

## References

- [1] T.K. Jana and P. Roy, Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics with Minimal Length Uncertainty, SIGMA 5 (2009), 083, 7 pages; arXiv:0908.1755.<sup>4</sup>
- [2] B. Bagchi and A. Fring, SIGMA 5 (2009), 089, 2 pages.
- [3] B. Bagchi and A. Fring, preprint arXiv:0907.5354.

---

<sup>4</sup>After this paper was submitted for publication a paper [3] dealing topics similar to [1] appeared. Unfortunately this paper escaped our attention during the proof correction of ref [1].